

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,615	09/15/2003	Kouta Inoue	242835US2	8505	
22850 7	590 11/26/2004		EXAMINER		_
•	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			GEYER, SCOTT B	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	_

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu		
			n Application No.
/1	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority doc	iments have been received	•
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
Friority t	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
Drianita	Indox 25 II S C S 440		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PTO-152.
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		
	Applicant may not request that any objection	* ' '	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
لكارف			•
·	The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 20</u>		a) objected to by the Everyiner
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
7)	· /		
	Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are rejected.		
-	Claim(s) <u>19</u> is/are allowed.	•	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are with	iurawn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicable.		
		cation	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
	olocca in accordance with the practice to	nuoi Ex parte Guayre, 1900 (	J.D. 11, 400 O.G. 210.
ر-	closed in accordance with the practice u	· ·	•
3)	Since this application is in condition for a		natters, prosecution as to the merits is
•		This action is non-final.	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	26 October 2004.	
Status			
earn	reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e mailing date of this communication, evo	en if timely filed, may reduce any
- Failu	are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b	v statute, cause the application to become	e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- If NO	e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory	period will apply and will expire SIX (6)	MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
after	SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica	tion.	
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37		y a reply he timely filed
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR		MONTH(S) FROM
Period fo			
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address
		Scott B. Geyer	2829
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Office Action Surrence	10/661,615	INOUE ET AL.
		Application No.	Applicant(s)

Art Unit: 2829

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 1-17 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 0604 (filed June 17<sup>th</sup>, 2004). A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Line 8: change "second" to - - first - -;

Line 13: change "second" to - - first - -.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- **3.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- **4.** Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dai et al. (6,174,781 B1) in view of examiner's official notice.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,615

Art Unit: 2829

5. As to claim 18, Dai et al. teach forming a first opening 160 and a second opening 180 in an insulation layer 150, as depicted by figure 1A and 1B (see also column 2, lines 28-65 for further description of the dual hole forming process). The first opening 160 penetrates through the insulation film 150 to expose an area below the insulation film, and the second opening 180 has a bottom in the insulation film 150. A first conductive film is deposited in the first and second openings, such that the first conductive film completely fills the first opening 160. This is depicted in figure 1C by numeral 190. The same conductive film material also partially fills the second opening. depicted in figure 1C by numeral 195. The conductive film in the first opening, depicted by numeral 190, acts as a contact plug (i.e. node plug) (see also column 3, lines 3-4). The first conductive film in the second opening, depicted by numeral 195, acts as a lower electrode (i.e. first electrode) of the capacitor (see also column 3, line 4). A dielectric film 210 is formed on the conductive film (i.e. lower electrode) 195. A second conductive film 220 is formed on the dielectric film 210. The second conductive film 220 acts as the upper electrode of the capacitor. Dai et al. do not teach the conductive films (190, 195 and 220) to be metal films. Instead, Dai et al. teach the conductive films to be doped polysilicon (see column 3, line 1). However, it is notoriously well known in the semiconductor manufacturing industry that metal films are used to make capacitor structures as well as doped polysilicon. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Dai et al. with a metal film for a capacitor structure as is well known in the semiconductor

Page 3

industry since metal films utilized in semiconductor structures are easily constructed, inexpensive, stable and reliable.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 19 is allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter. The prior art of record and to the examiner's knowledge does not teach or render obvious, at least to the skilled artisan, the instant invention regarding the method of making a semiconductor structure having first, second and third openings, wherein the first and second openings are contiguous, and the second opening is wider than the first opening, and filling a first metal film in the first and third openings, completely filling those openings, and also forming a dielectric film and a second metal film in the second opening to complete a capacitor structure in the second opening.

## Conclusion

- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- **9.** A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is

Application/Control Number: 10/661,615

Art Unit: 2829

not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott B. Geyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1958. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, between 10:00am - 6:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Tokar can be reached on (571)272-1812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SBG November 22, 2004

1/22/14